

# Introduction to linguistic typology

## Lecture 1

Francesca Di Garbo

francesca@ling.su.se



# Overview of this class

- ▶ Defining linguistic typology.
- ▶ Typology as a theory and a method of linguistic research.
- ▶ Research questions in typology and how they have changed through time.

# Defining typology (Croft 2003: 1)

- ▶ **Comparing** languages with each other with respect to a given linguistic phenomenon and based on representative **samples**.
- ▶ **Classifying** observed crosslinguistic variation into **types** (phonological, morphological, syntactic, semantic, lexical, pragmatic etc.).
- ▶ **Formulating generalizations** over the **distribution** (what is attested/how frequently) of linguistic patterns across the languages of the world and their relationship to other patterns.

# Typology: theory and method

- ▶ The study of linguistic diversity based on:  
**comparative**, **empirical**, and **functional** approaches.

# A bit of history: Morphological typology

- ▶ XIX century typology: F. and A. Schlegel, A. von Humboldt, A. von Schleicher

# A bit of history: Morphological typology

- ▶ XIX century typology: F. and A. Schlegel, A. von Humboldt, A. von Schleicher
  - ▶ isolating languages, Chinese
  - ▶ agglutinative languages, Turkish
  - ▶ inflectional languages: synthetic, Latin; analytic, French
  - ▶ incorporating languages

# A bit of history: Morphological typology

- ▶ XIX century typology: F. and A. Schlegel, A. von Humboldt, A. von Schleicher
  - ▶ isolating languages, Chinese
  - ▶ agglutinative languages, Turkish
  - ▶ inflectional languages: synthetic, Latin; analytic, French
  - ▶ incorporating languages

**Holistic classifications:** One parameter of variation, having predictive scope on overall languages.

# A bit of history: Morphological typology II

Sapir (1922)

- ▶ Two parameters of variation: number of morphemes per words and degree of alteration of morphemes in combination.



# A bit of history: Morphological typology II

Sapir (1922)

- ▶ Two parameters of variation: number of morphemes per words and degree of alteration of morphemes in combination.
  - ▶ **Number of morphemes per word**
    - ▶ analytic (one morpheme per word)
    - ▶ synthetic (a small number of morphemes per words)
    - ▶ polysynthetic (a large number of morphemes and multiple roots per word)

# A bit of history: Morphological typology II

Sapir (1922)

- ▶ Two parameters of variation: number of morphemes per words and degree of alteration of morphemes in combination.
  - ▶ **Number of morphemes per word**
    - ▶ analytic (one morpheme per word)
    - ▶ synthetic (a small number of morphemes per words)
    - ▶ polysynthetic (a large number of morphemes and multiple roots per word)
  - ▶ **Degree of alteration between combined morphemes**
    - ▶ isolating: no affixation
    - ▶ agglutinative: simple affixation (no alteration)
    - ▶ fusional: considerable alteration between combined morphemes
    - ▶ symbolic: suppletion

# A bit of history: Morphological typology II

Sapir (1922)

- ▶ Two parameters of variation: number of morphemes per words and degree of alteration of morphemes in combination.
  - ▶ **Number of morphemes per word**
    - ▶ analytic (one morpheme per word)
    - ▶ synthetic (a small number of morphemes per words)
    - ▶ polysynthetic (a large number of morphemes and multiple roots per word)
  - ▶ **Degree of alteration between combined morphemes**
    - ▶ isolating: no affixation
    - ▶ agglutinative: simple affixation (no alteration)
    - ▶ fusional: considerable alteration between combined morphemes
    - ▶ symbolic: suppletion

**From holistic classifications to classifications of specific features of language and the study of their mutual relationships across languages.**

# The Greenbergian revolution and the quest for universals

Questions, generalizations, methods

# The Greenbergian revolution and the quest for universals

Greenberg (1963) on word order universals

- ▶ what is possible/impossible in human language?
- ▶ why?

# The Greenbergian revolution and the quest for universals

Greenberg (1963) on word order universals

- ▶ what is possible/impossible in human language?
- ▶ why?
- ▶ Data collection based on reference grammars and corpora.

# The Greenbergian revolution and the quest for universals

Greenberg (1963) on word order universals

- ▶ what is possible/impossible in human language?
- ▶ why?
- ▶ Data collection based on reference grammars and corpora.
- ▶ Two types of universals: unrestricted universals and implicational universals.

# Unrestricted universals

“All languages have oral vowels” (Croft 2003: 52)



# Unrestricted universals

“All languages have oral vowels” (Croft 2003: 52)

- ▶ Unrestricted universals state that there is a limit to linguistic variation along a given parameter. Given this parameter all languages are the same.

# Unrestricted universals

“All languages have oral vowels” (Croft 2003: 52)

- ▶ Unrestricted universals state that there is a limit to linguistic variation along a given parameter. Given this parameter all languages are the same.
- ▶ They are very few.

# Implicational universals

- ▶ If X then Y.

# Implicational universals

- ▶ If X then Y.
- ▶ They do not state that languages must belong to one type.

# Implicational universals

- ▶ If X then Y.
- ▶ They do not state that languages must belong to one type.
- ▶ They however impose constraints on possible types. These constraints lie on the relationship between two logically independent parameters.

# Implicational universals

- ▶ If X then Y.
- ▶ They do not state that languages must belong to one type.
- ▶ They however impose constraints on possible types. These constraints lie on the relationship between two logically independent parameters.

U27 If a language is exclusively suffixing, it is postpositional: if it is exclusively prefixing, it is prepositional.  
(Greenberg 1963: 57)

# Implicational hierarchies

- ▶ Chains of implicational universals having scope over the same domain.

# Implicational hierarchies

- ▶ Chains of implicational universals having scope over the same domain.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} a & > & b & > & c & > & d \\ a & < & b & < & c & < & d \end{array}$$



# Implicational hierarchies

- Chains of implicational universals having scope over the same domain.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} a & > & b & > & c & > & d \\ a & < & b & < & c & < & d \end{array}$$





























<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No

Figure 1: IHs and monotonic increase

# Implicational hierarchies

- ▶ Chains of implicational universals having scope over the same domain.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} a & > & b & > & c & > & d \\ a & < & b & < & c & < & d \end{array}$$

				Yes
				Yes
				Yes
				Yes
				No
				No
				No

- ▶ IH are used “to make specific and restrictive claims about possible human languages” (Corbett 2013: 190).
- ▶ Very few IHs “have stood the test of time” (Corbett 2013: 190).

Figure 1: IHs and monotonic increase

# The Number Hierarchy

⇒ Number values and their likelihood

singular < plural < dual < trial

U34 “No language has a trial number unless it has a dual. No language has a dual unless it has plural”.  
(Greenberg 1963: 58)

# Animacy Hierarchy (Haspelmath 2013; Smith-Stark 1974)

# Animacy Hierarchy (Haspelmath 2013; Smith-Stark 1974)

speaker < addressee < 3rd person < kin < human < animate <  
inanimate (Corbett 2000; Smith-Stark 1974)

or

# Animacy Hierarchy (Haspelmath 2013; Smith-Stark 1974)

speaker < addressee < 3rd person < kin < human < animate <  
inanimate (Corbett 2000; Smith-Stark 1974)

or

kin < other humans < 'higher animals' < 'lower animals' < discrete  
inanimates < nondiscrete inanimates (Haspelmath 2013)

# Animacy Hierarchy (Haspelmath 2013; Smith-Stark 1974)

speaker < addressee < 3rd person < kin < human < animate <  
inanimate (Corbett 2000; Smith-Stark 1974)

or

kin < other humans < 'higher animals' < 'lower animals' < discrete  
inanimates < nondiscrete inanimates (Haspelmath 2013)

⇒ The marking of nominal plurality

# Animacy-based marking of nominal plurality

- (1) Nominal number marking in Bila (Atlantic-Congo, Bantu;  
adapted from Kutsch Lojenga 2003: 462)

a. Animate nouns (singular)

míkí

child

‘child’

b. Animate nouns (plural)

ḡa-míkí

PL-child

‘children’

c. Inanimate nouns (invariant)

endú

house

‘house(s)’





# IHs and frequency

# IHs and frequency

- ▶ IHs reflect the frequency of linguistic patterns across languages.

# IHs and frequency

- ▶ IHs reflect the frequency of linguistic patterns across languages.
- ▶ Corpus frequencies within individual languages reflect the same distributional preferences (Corbett 2013; Greenberg 1966).

# IHs and frequency

- ▶ IHs reflect the frequency of linguistic patterns across languages.
  - ▶ Corpus frequencies within individual languages reflect the same distributional preferences (Corbett 2013; Greenberg 1966).
- (2) Relative frequencies of number inflections on nouns in Sanskrit based on Greenberg (1966)

Singular = 70.3%; Plural = 25.3 %; Dual = 04.6%

# IHs and diachrony

- ▶ IHs define possible language types
- ▶ IHs describe diachronic transitions between possible language types

# IHs and diachrony

- ▶ IHs define possible language types
- ▶ IHs describe diachronic transitions between possible language types
  - ⇒ The grammaticalization of nominal number within individual languages is likely to reflect the Animacy Hierarchy (Haspelmath 2013).  
  
kin < other humans < 'higher animals' < 'lower animals' < discrete inanimates < nondiscrete inanimates (Haspelmath 2013)

# Sampling for diversity (Bakker 2011; Veselinova forthcoming)

# Sampling for diversity (Bakker 2011; Veselinova forthcoming)

- ▶ **Probability sample:** testing the probability of a language to be of a specific type.
- ▶ **Variety sample:** exploring linguistic diversity with respect to a linguistic variable about which not much is known.
- ▶ **Convenience sample:** taking any relevant and reliable available data with respect to the variable under study.
- ▶ **Random sample:** no stratification, no exhaustiveness, all members of a population have had a chance to be chosen = very rare in typology.



# Present-day typology

Questions, generalizations, methods

# Comparing and classifying: descriptive categories and comparative concepts

Haspelmath (2010); discussion in V 20, 2 of *Linguistic Typology* (Oct 2016)

# Comparing and classifying: descriptive categories and comparative concepts

Haspelmath (2010); discussion in V 20, 2 of *Linguistic Typology* (Oct 2016)

**Descriptive categories:** language-particular categories used in the description of a language

**Comparative concepts:** concepts created by comparative linguists for the purpose of language comparison.

# Comparing and classifying: descriptive categories and comparative concepts

Haspelmath (2010); discussion in V 20, 2 of *Linguistic Typology* (Oct 2016)

**Descriptive categories:** language-particular categories used in the description of a language

**Comparative concepts:** concepts created by comparative linguists for the purpose of language comparison.

- ▶ A distinction that is both theoretical and methodological

# Descriptive categories vs. comparative concepts

## Descriptive categories:

- ▶ language-particular
- ▶ psychologically real

## Comparative concepts:

- ▶ universally applicable
- ▶ methodological tools
- ▶ no psychological reality
- ▶ no direct relevance to the description of a particular language
- ▶ can't be right or wrong, rather better or worse suited for the task
- ▶ defined in terms of other universally applicable concepts (conceptual-semantic concepts, general formal concepts, other comparative concepts).

## Example of a comparative concept: the dative

*A dative case is a morphological category that has among its functions the coding of the recipient argument of a physical transfer verb (such as 'give', 'lend', 'sell', 'hand'), when this is coded differently from the theme argument. (Haspelmath 2010: 666)*



# Generalizing: the myth of universals

Evans & Levinson (2009)

# Generalizing: the myth of universals

Evans & Levinson (2009)

- ▶ From a biological point of view, diversity is the most remarkable property of human language as compared to other animals' communication systems.



Stockholm  
University



# Generalizing: the myth of universals

Evans & Levinson (2009)

- ▶ From a biological point of view, diversity is the most remarkable property of human language as compared to other animals' communication systems.
- ▶ Linguistic diversity is the result of historical and cultural evolution. It must be studied by taking into account genealogical and geographical biases.



Stockholm  
University

# Generalizing: the myth of universals

Evans & Levinson (2009)

- ▶ From a biological point of view, diversity is the most remarkable property of human language as compared to other animals' communication systems.
- ▶ Linguistic diversity is the result of historical and cultural evolution. It must be studied by taking into account genealogical and geographical biases.
- ▶ There are no sharp boundaries between possible and impossible languages, variables and types. Linguistic diversity is instead *statistical, probabilistic*.



Stockholm  
University

# Generalizing: the myth of universals

Evans & Levinson (2009)

- ▶ From a biological point of view, diversity is the most remarkable property of human language as compared to other animals' communication systems.
- ▶ Linguistic diversity is the result of historical and cultural evolution. It must be studied by taking into account genealogical and geographical biases.
- ▶ There are no sharp boundaries between possible and impossible languages, variables and types. Linguistic diversity is instead *statistical, probabilistic*.



Stockholm  
University

# Generalizing: non-linguistic causes of linguistic diversity

- ▶ **Linguistic diversity in space and time (Nichols 1992)**
  - ▶ Identifying stable features in language(s); disentangling genealogical, geographical and universal determinants of linguistic diversity; turning typology into a population science.

# Generalizing: non-linguistic causes of linguistic diversity

- ▶ **Linguistic diversity in space and time (Nichols 1992)**
  - ▶ Identifying stable features in language(s); disentangling genealogical, geographical and universal determinants of linguistic diversity; turning typology into a population science.
- ▶ **Distributional typology: What? Where? Why? (Bickel 2007, 2015)**
  - ▶ Processing preferences, historical contingencies concerning population movements and language contact are all factors at play in explaining the distribution and development of language structures.

# Generalizing: non-linguistic causes of linguistic diversity

- ▶ **Linguistic diversity in space and time (Nichols 1992)**
  - ▶ Identifying stable features in language(s); disentangling genealogical, geographical and universal determinants of linguistic diversity; turning typology into a population science.
- ▶ **Distributional typology: What? Where? Why? (Bickel 2007, 2015)**
  - ▶ Processing preferences, historical contingencies concerning population movements and language contact are all factors at play in explaining the distribution and development of language structures.
- ▶ **Languages as complex adaptive systems (Beckner et al. 2009)**
  - ▶ Language structures emerge from the interaction between human cognitive abilities and the socio-cultural dynamics of inter-speaker communication

# Sampling

- ▶ Sampling for diversity, but controlling for language families and areas (Dryer 1989)

# Sampling

- ▶ Sampling for diversity, but controlling for language families and areas (Dryer 1989)
- ▶ Family-based sampling (Bickel 2013; Dunn et al. 2011)



# Sampling

- ▶ Sampling for diversity, but controlling for language families and areas (Dryer 1989)
- ▶ Family-based sampling (Bickel 2013; Dunn et al. 2011)
- ▶ More about it on Thursday.

# Example of a family-based typological study

(Dunn et al. 2011)

- ▶ The study uses phylogenetic comparative methods to explore the co-evolution of word order features within language families.

# Example of a family-based typological study

(Dunn et al. 2011)

- ▶ The study uses phylogenetic comparative methods to explore the co-evolution of word order features within language families.
- ▶ The study suggests that Greenbergian word order correlations are family-specific rather than universal.

# New methods and resources

# New methods and resources

- ▶ New methods of data collection

# New methods and resources

- ▶ New methods of data collection
  - ▶ Parallel corpora
    - ▶ Parallel Bible Corpus

# New methods and resources

- ▶ New methods of data collection
  - ▶ Parallel corpora
    - ▶ Parallel Bible Corpus
- ▶ New methods of hypothesis testing

# New methods and resources

- ▶ New methods of data collection
  - ▶ Parallel corpora
    - ▶ Parallel Bible Corpus
- ▶ New methods of hypothesis testing
  - ▶ Experiments with miniature artificial languages
    - ▶ Jennifer Culbertson



# New methods and resources

- ▶ New methods of data collection
  - ▶ Parallel corpora
    - ▶ Parallel Bible Corpus
- ▶ New methods of hypothesis testing
  - ▶ Experiments with miniature artificial languages
    - ▶ Jennifer Culbertson
- ▶ New methods of data management

# New methods and resources

- ▶ New methods of data collection
  - ▶ Parallel corpora
    - ▶ Parallel Bible Corpus
- ▶ New methods of hypothesis testing
  - ▶ Experiments with miniature artificial languages
    - ▶ Jennifer Culbertson
- ▶ New methods of data management
  - ▶ The [WALS](#) revolution

# New methods and resources

- ▶ New methods of data collection
  - ▶ Parallel corpora
    - ▶ Parallel Bible Corpus
- ▶ New methods of hypothesis testing
  - ▶ Experiments with miniature artificial languages
    - ▶ Jennifer Culbertson
- ▶ New methods of data management
  - ▶ The [WALS](#) revolution
  - ▶ Cross-linguistic linked data
    - ▶ CLLD

To be continued...

To be continued...

Thank you for today!

# References I

- Bakker, Dik. 2011. Language sampling. In Jae Jung Song (ed.), *Handbook of linguistic typology*, 100–127. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Beckner, Clay, Nick C. Ellis, Richard Blythe, John Holland, Joan Bybee, Jinyun Ke, Morten H. Christiansen, Diane Larsen-Freeman, William Croft & Tom Shoenemann. 2009. Language is a complex adaptive system: Position Paper. *Language Learning* 59. 1–26.
- Bickel, Balthasar. 2007. Typology in the 21st century: Major current developments. *Linguistic Typology* 11. 239–251.
- Bickel, Balthasar. 2013. Distributional biases in language families. In Alan Timberlake, Johanna Nichols, David A. Peterson, Balthasar Bickel & Lenor A. Grenoble (eds.), *Language typology and historical contingency: In honor of Johanna Nichols*, 415–443. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Bickel, Balthasar. 2015. Distributional typology: statistical inquiries into the dynamics of linguistic diversity. In Bernd Heine & Heiko Narrog (eds.), *The Oxford handbook of linguistic analysis*, 901–923. Oxford University Press 2nd edn.
- Bybee, Joan. 2011. Markedness, iconicity, economy, and frequency. In Jae Jung Song (ed.), *The oxford handbook of linguistic typology*, 131–147. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Corbett, Greville. 2013. Number of genders. In Matthew Dryer & Martin Haspelmath (eds.), *The world atlas of language structures online*, Max Planck Digital Library, chapter 30. Available online at: <http://wals.info/chapter/30>. Accessed on 2014-02-14.

# References II

- Cristofaro, Sonia. 2012. Typological universals in synchrony and diachrony: The evolution of number marking. Paper presented at the 45th meeting of the *Societas Linguistica Europea*, Stockholm, September 2012.
- Croft, William. 2003. *Typology and universals*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dahl, Östen & Bernhard Wälchli. 2016. Perfects and iamitives: two gram types in one grammatical space. *Letras de Hoje* 51(3). 325–348. DOI: 10.15448/1984-7726.2016.3.25454.
- Dryer, Matthew. 1989. Large linguistic areas and language sampling. *Studies in Language* 13. 257–292.
- Dunn, Michael, Simon J. Greenhill, Stephen C. Levinson & Russel D. Gray. 2011. Evolved structure of language shows lineage-specific trends in word-order universals. *Nature* 473. 79–82.
- Evans, Nicholas & Stephen C. Levinson. 2009. The myth of language universals: Language diversity and its importance for cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 32. 429–492.
- Greenberg, Joseph. 1963. *The languages of Africa*. Bloomington: Indiana University.
- Greenberg, Joseph. 1966. *Language universals, with special reference to feature hierarchies* Janua Linguarum, Series Minor 59. The Hague: Mouton. Need to locate.

# References III

- Haspelmath, Martin. 2010. Comparative concepts and descriptive categories in crosslinguistic studies. *Language* 86. 663–687.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 2013. Occurrence of nominal plurality. In Matthew Dryer & Martin Haspelmath (eds.), *The world atlas of language structures online*, Max Planck Digital Library, Chapter 34. Available online at: <http://wals.info/chapter/34>. Accessed on 2014-02-14.
- Kutsch Lojenga, Constance. 2003. Bila (D 32). In Derek Nurse & Gérard Philippson (eds.), *The Bantu languages*, 450–474. London: Routledge.
- Nichols, Johanna. 1992. *Linguistic diversity in space and time*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Sapir, Edward. 1922. *Language*. New York: Harcourt, Brace and World.
- Smith-Stark, Cedric. 1974. The plurality split. *Chicago Linguistic Society* 10. 657–671.
- Veselinova, Ljuba. forthcoming. Sampling procedures. In *WSK dictionary on theories and methods in linguistics*, Online publication under the auspices of Mouton de Gruyter.